

Quantification of Psilocin and Psilocybin in Mushrooms

Linda Smith, Senior Scientist; Sunil Badal, Analytical Specialist; Arun Kumar, Senior Scientist; Sarah Aijaz, R&D Manager; Uma Sreenivasan, Head of Reference Materials R&D; MilliporeSigma (Round Rock, USA)

Introduction

Tryptamines are a class of compounds that are known for their hallucinogenic effects and can be naturally found in plants, fungi, microbes, and amphibians. Tryptamines are structurally similar to serotonin, making them capable of binding to the serotonin receptor thus causing psychedelic effects.¹ Psilocybin mushrooms, or "magic mushrooms", have been ingested for centuries due to their psychoactive properties, especially in spiritual ceremonies. Two components that are of particular interest are psilocybin and psilocin. Psilocybin, the inactive form, is very stable due to the phosphate group. Upon de-phosphorylation, the compound becomes psilocin, which possesses hallucinogenic properties. In the past few decades, extensive research has been conducted to explore the potential therapeutic applications of these compounds in treating various mental disorders, including depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Recently some states have decriminalized the use of psychedelic mushrooms, while Oregon has made them fully legal.² Hence, accurate quantitation of psilocybin

and psilocin as well as understanding appropriate dosing is crucial to ensuring the desired effects and minimizing potential risks.

In this study, we present a quantitation method for psilocybin and psilocin in "magic mushrooms". Additionally, this method also detects structurally related compounds, such as aeruginascin, baeocystin, norbaeocystin, norpsilocin. These compounds have been noted in the literature to complement the hallucinogenic properties of magic mushrooms.³ To assess the reliability of the method, bracketing injection and replicate sample analyses were employed, yielding RSDs of $\leq 5\%$ for both psilocybin and psilocin in individual analyses. These results show good instrument and method suitability. The limit of quantitation (LOQ) achieved with this HPLC method was 1 ng/mL of injected extract. However, it is worth noting that detection was possible at an even lower concentration of 0.1 ng/mL.




Figure 1. Chemical structure and conversion of psilocybin to psilocin.

Experimental

Four strains of mushroom samples were separately cryo-milled into a powder. Samples included the canopy and stem portions of the fruiting bodies. To ensure reproducibility, triplicate measurements were taken for each mushroom strain, with a target weight of 50 mg of mushroom powder per assay. Psilocybin and psilocin were extracted by vortex shaking for 30 min at 2500 rpm in a total of 5 mL of 5% acetic acid in methanol. Following centrifugation, the resulting supernatant was transferred to a clean tube. Volume is brought to 10 mL with water and then further diluted

1000x with water. Diluted samples were spiked with internal standard (Psilocin-D₁₀ & Psilocybin-D₄) for a final concentration of 50 ng/mL. A calibration curve was created by preparing stock solutions of psilocybin and psilocin at 1.0 µg/mL in water and serially diluted to prepare the eight curve points, shown in **Table 2**. Each extracted sample was injected on column three times for a total of 9 injections per strain. The LOQ sample at 1 ng/mL was injected 6 times at the beginning of the run and 3 times at the end of the run to evaluate system suitability and drift




Figure 2. Extraction workflow mushroom samples.

Table 1. LC-MS/MS Conditions

LC Conditions			
HPLC Systems: 1. Agilent 1290 HPLC equipped with 6495 QQQ Mass Spectrometer 2. Shimadzu HPLC equipped with Sciex Mass Spectrometer			
Column:	Ascentis® Express 90 Å AQ C18 15 cm x 3.0 mm, 2.7 µm (577331-U)		
Mobile phase:	[A] 0.1% Formic acid in water; [B] 0.1% Formic acid in acetonitrile		
Gradient:	Time (min)	A%	B%
	0.00	95	5
	0.20	95	5
	2.00	70	30
	2.01	0	100
	3.79	0	100
	3.80	95	5
Flow rate:	0.90 mL/min		
Column temp.:	25 °C		
Detector:	MS, ESI(+), MRM (see Table 4)		
Injection:	5 µL		
Sample(s):	Various strains of milled mushrooms		

MS Conditions	
Polarity:	Positive
Spray voltage:	2000 V
Sheath gas:	11 L/min
Sheath gas temp.:	300 °C
Aux. gas:	12 L/min
Aux. gas temp.:	130 °C

Table 2. Calibration curve concentrations. Each curve point contained 50 ng/mL of internal standards of both psilocybin-D₄ and psilocin-D₁₀

Calibration Curve Concentrations								
Curve Point	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Concentration (ng/mL)	1	5	25	100	200	375	500	1000
Concentration w/w (%)	0.02%	0.10%	0.50%	2.00%	4.00%	7.50%	10.50%	20.00%

Results

An 8-point, linear calibration curve was created for psilocybin and psilocin ranging from 1 ng/mL to 1000 ng/mL (**Table 2**) with weighting 1/x². The curve was deemed acceptable if the correlation coefficient was greater than 0.99 and the accuracy of the calibration points was within 10% of the prepared concentration. Interestingly, the area response for psilocin was found to be 40-fold greater than psilocybin, despite the fact that analyzed psilocybin concentrations were much lower. Due to the high response, signal suppression of

psilocin-D₁₀ occurred when the native concentrations were 25 ng/mL and higher. Also, the accuracy of curve points 6 through 8 was not within the 10% acceptance criteria. Consequently, the last three curve points were dropped in the assessment of psilocin concentrations. **Figure 3** illustrates the drop in internal standard area response with increasing native concentration in the calibration curve and shows increased sample response variability in samples that were more concentrated (samples diluted 100x versus samples diluted 1000x).




Figure 3. 50 ng/mL Psilocin-D₁₀ area response. Curve range 1 ng/mL to 1000 ng/mL. Samples diluted to 100x and 1000x.

Data displayed in **Table 3** shows the concentration (% w/w) determined for psilocybin and psilocin for four mushroom strains. Each mushroom strain was weighed in triplicate and injected three times (n=9). The reported concentrations in **Table 3** are an average of the 9 injections of 1000x diluted samples. This data was collected over three separate days, by two different analysts and two different LC-MS systems to evaluate

the method for robustness. Unfortunately, what was not considered was within strain mushroom to mushroom variability. Literature shows that a large range of concentrations of psilocybin and psilocin can be present in mushrooms and may differ greatly depending on their cultivation, plant part, and storage conditions.^{5,6} Even under strict growing conditions the tryptamine content can vary widely within the same harvest.

Table 3. Percent concentration (w/w) of 1000x diluted psilocybin and psilocin samples from various strains of mushrooms (n=9)

Mushroom Strain	Analyst 1/Instrument 1	Analyst 2/Instrument 1	Analyst 2/Instrument 2
Psilocybin	(w/w)%	(w/w)%	(w/w)%
B Plus	1.420 ± 0.041	1.717 ± 0.073	NA
Blue Meanie	0.858 ± 0.034	1.060 ± 0.043	1.000 ± 0.017
Creeper	0.960 ± 0.021	1.418 ± 0.071	1.199 ± 0.042
Texas Yellow	1.051 ± 0.068	1.362 ± 0.048	1.233 ± 0.013
Psilocin	(w/w)%	(w/w)%	(w/w)%
B Plus	0.023 ± 0.001	0.031 ± 0.002	NA
Blue Meanie	0.018 ± 0.001	0.023 ± 0.001	0.025 ± 0.001
Creeper	0.032 ± 0.005	0.025 ± 0.001	0.025 ± 0.001
Texas Yellow	0.036 ± 0.004	0.033 ± 0.002	0.033 ± 0.002

During this study, the stability of cryo-milled mushrooms was assessed by subjecting them to different storage environments; this included a freezer, refrigerator, room temperature, and an elevated temperature of 40 °C with 75% relative humidity. The samples were packed in foil bags to protect them from air and light, then placed in the intended environment, and then analyzed over four weeks; the data obtained is presented in **Table 4**. Samples stored in the freezer served as the study's control group. Upon analysis, it was found that

while there is some variation in concentration for both psilocybin and psilocin when kept at refrigerated or room temperature conditions, there is a nearly 100% reduction in psilocybin when exposed to elevated temperatures. Psilocin, oppositely, exhibited varying rates of growth over the time course. These findings suggest that elevated temperatures have a profound effect on the conversion of psilocybin to psilocin. However, since this conversion is not one-to-one, we can speculate that there is degradation of psilocybin, psilocin, or both.

Table 4. Psilocybin and psilocin mushroom stability.

Psilocybin % Concentration (w/w)				
Week	Freezer (Control)	Refrigerate	Room Temperature	40 °C/75% RH
1		1.799	1.553	0.005
2		1.317	1.773	0.030
3	1.621	1.445	1.574	0.004
4		1.706	1.654	0.008

Week	Freezer (Control)	Refrigerate	Room Temperature	40 °C/75% RH
1		0.026	0.023	0.224
2		0.026	0.024	0.782
3	0.023	0.021	0.024	0.133
4		0.025	0.023	0.419

Other tryptamines present in psychedelic mushrooms include aeruginascin, baeocystin, norbaeocystin, and norpsilocin. The extent of the hallucinogenic properties and the ability to convert to a psychedelically active compound are still being studied. Having a single method that can easily examine the presence and/

or concentration of all the tryptamines could be highly valuable. While only psilocybin and psilocin concentrations were evaluated, this method shows good separation of the other constituents, **Table 5** and **Figure 5**.

Table 5. Psychedelic mushroom constituents retention times and monitored transitions.

Peak no.	Compound	Retention time (min)	MRM Transitions (m/z)
1	Norbaeocystin	1.01	257.0 -> 160.1
2	Baeocystin	1.25	271.1 -> 191.0
3	Psilocybin Psilocybin-D ₄	1.41	285.1 -> 205.1 289.1 -> 209.1
4	Aeruginascin	1.42	299.3 -> 240.0
5	Norpsilocin	1.57	191.3 -> 160.1
6	Psilocin Psilocin-D ₁₀	1.69	205.1 -> 160.1 215.2 -> 164.1




Figure 5. Psychedelic mushroom constituents chromatograms for monitored transitions.

Conclusion

Here, a method for the quantification of psilocybin and psilocin in 'magic mushrooms' was demonstrated. Lowering the upper limit to 25 mg/mL of the psilocin calibration curve and appropriately diluting the extracted sample is recommended to avoid signal suppression.

We show that psilocybin and psilocin are relatively stable up to room temperature conditions. Conversion of psilocybin to psilocin as well as degradation is observed in heated conditions at differing rates. Many factors can be the source of this variability, including air and photosensitivity that may have been introduced to the samples during packaging or the extraction process.⁴ We also illustrate the importance of preparing homogeneous batches to accurately determine the potency of a mushroom harvest. It can be concluded that within a single sample set, the sample extraction and analysis are reproducible.

References

1. Araújo AM et al. The hallucinogenic world of tryptamines: an updated review. *Archives of toxicology*. 2015;89(8):1151–1173. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-015-1513-x>
2. Oregon Psilocybin Services. Oregon.gov. <http://oregon.gov/psilocybin> (accessed 05.02.2024)
3. Sherwood AM, Halberstadt AL, Klein AK, McCorvy JD, Kaylo KW, Kargbo RB, Meisenheimer P. 2020. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Tryptamines Found in Hallucinogenic Mushrooms: Norbaeocystin, Baeocystin, Norpsilocin, and Aeruginascin. *Journal of Natural Products*. 83(2):461–467. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.9b01061>
4. Gotvaldová K et al. Stability of psilocybin and its four analogs in the biomass of the psychotropic mushroom *Psilocybe cubensis*. *Drug testing and analysis*. 2021;13(2):439–446. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.2950>
5. Zhou L et al. Sensitive quantitative analysis of psilocin and psilocybin in hair samples from suspected users and their distribution in seized hallucinogenic mushrooms. *Forensic toxicology*. 2021;39(2):464–473. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11419-020-00566-3>
6. Saito K et al. Determination of psilocin in magic mushrooms and rat plasma by liquid chromatography with fluorimetry and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *Analytica chimica acta*. 2004;527(2):149–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2004.08.071>.

Featured Products

Description	Cat. No.
HPLC Columns	
Ascentis® Express 90 Å AQ-C18 150 cm x 3.0 mm, 2.7 µm	577331-U
Solvents and Reagents	
Acetic acid, 100%, for HPLC LiChropur™	5.43808
Acetonitrile, for UHPLC, suitable for mass spectrometry (MS)	900667
Formic Acid, for LC-MS LiChropur™, 97.5-98.5% (T)	00940
Methanol, UHPLC, suitable for mass spectrometry (MS)	900688
Water, for chromatography (LC-MS Grade) LiChrosolv®	1.15333
Certified Reference Materials - Cerilliant®	
Aeruginascin (Coming Soon)	A-207
Baeocystin, 1 mg/mL in acetonitrile:water (1:1)	B-102
Norbaeocystin, 1 mg/mL in acetonitrile:water (1:1)	N-147
Norpsilocin, 1 mg/mL in acetonitrile	N-149
Psilocin, 1 mg/mL in acetonitrile	P-098
Psilocin-D ₁₀ , 100 µg/mL in acetonitrile	P-099
Psilocybin, 1 mg/mL in acetonitrile:water (1:1)	P-097
Psilocybin-D ₄ , 100µg/mL in acetonitrile:water (1:1)	P-175

Related Products

Description	Cat. No.
Reagents and Solvents	
Formic Acid Solution, 0.1% (v/v) Formic acid in water, hypergrade for LC-MS LiChrosolv®	1.59013
Acetonitrile solution, suitable for LC/MS, LiChrosolv®, contains 0.1% (v/v) formic acid	1.59002

Find more Controlled Substances & Illicit Drug Standards at SigmaAldrich.com/cerilliant

See our offer on Ascentis® Express Fused-Core® UHPLC columns at SigmaAldrich.com/HPLC

To place an order or receive technical assistance

Order/Customer Service: SigmaAldrich.com/order
For Technical Service visit: SigmaAldrich.com/techservice

SigmaAldrich.com

We have built a unique collection of life science brands with unrivaled experience in supporting your scientific advancements.

Millipore® **Sigma-Aldrich**® **Supelco**® **Milli-Q**® **SAFC**® **BioReliance**®

Merck KGaA
Frankfurter Strasse 250
64293 Darmstadt, Germany

